## **EXHIBIT Q**

JMA (0338)
CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN,
CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN
6 Becker Farm Road
Roseland, New Jersey 07068
(973)994-1700

Christopher H. Marraro WALLACE KING MARRARO & BRANSON, PLLC 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 204-1000

Attorneys for Defendants, W. R. Grace & Co., ECARG, Inc., W. R. Grace, LTD. 2001 FEB 13 PACE SUIT OF ERN

FILED

FEB 1 3 2001

AT 8:30
WILLIAM T WALSH . M

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

INTERFAITH COMMUNITY ORGANIZATION, et al.,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 95-2097 (DMC)

Plaintiffs,

٧.

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC. (formerly known as ALLIEDSIGNAL, INC.), et al.,

Defendants,

ORDER

-AND-

W.R. GRACE & CO., et al.,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

v.

•

HELLER-JERSEY CITY L.L.C., et al.,

Third-Party Defendants. :

ENTERED

ON THE DOCKET

FEB 13 2001

WILLIAM T WALSH, CLERK

(Deputy Clerk)

This matter having been opened by the Court for a Status Conference in the presence of Terris, Pravlick & Millan, LLP (Bruce J. Terris, Esq., and Steven J. German, Esq., appearing) and Edward Lloyd, Esq., attorneys for plaintiffs, Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan, Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein (John M. Agnello, Esq., appearing) and Wallace, King, Marraro & Branson (Christopher H. Marraro, Esq., appearing), attorneys for W. R. Grace & Co., ECARG, Inc. and W. R. Grace, Ltd., Lowenstein Sandler, PC (David W. Field, Esq., and Michael J. Caffrey, Esq., appearing), attorneys for Honeywell International, Inc., and for good cause shown;

It is on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of February, 2001, ORDERED:

- 1. The Amended Scheduling Order entered by Magistrate Haneke on May 25, 2000, is modified as follows:
  - Plaintiffs'/cross-claimant's expert reports are due March 31, 2001.
  - Defendants' expert reports are due May 30, 2001.
  - There shall be no rebuttal expert reports. Experts will be permitted to offer rebuttal testimony at the time of trial.
  - There shall be no new requests for discovery served by any party.
  - Only depositions which were noticed on or before the close of fact discovery on
     December 15, 2000, may be conducted.
  - Any party who did not serve a deposition notice on or before December 15, 2000, is precluded from noticing any deposition without further Order of the Court. Such an Order will only be granted if the party requesting the deposition can demonstrate to the Court's satisfaction, that it was prevented from issuing a deposition notice on or before December 15, 2000, because the party to whom

Case 01-01139-AMC Doc 828-20 Filed 08/30/01 Page 4 of 4

the deposition notice would have been directed was delinquent in responding to discovery requests.

• The Court will conduct a Status Conference on April 9, 2001, at 10:00 a.m.

DENNIS M. CAVANAUGH, U.S.D.J